

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/043,605	01/14/2002	John Briar	ST1998-001 B	7465		
28112	7590 01/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			ROSE, KIESHA L			
28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,,		2822	<u>. </u>		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***				11/			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/043,605	BRIAR, JOHN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kiesha L. Rose	2822				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a rewithin the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB/date of this communication, even if ti	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communicat NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 O</u>						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 11,12 and 14-19 is/are rejected.						
7)	·						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	animer. Note the attached	Office Action of format 10-102.				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	neigety under 25 II S.C. 8	119(a) (d) or (f)				
a) 13)□ <i>A</i> s 3 a	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestifince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestific the translation of the foreign language productions.	s have been received. s have been received in Apity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not priority under 35 U.S.C. is sentence of the specifical visional application has be	oplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Data S	heet.			
י בשוקדי פו	eference was included in the first sentence of the	e specification or in an Ap	olication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.	78.			
Attachmen		_					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•			

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed 6 October 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11,12 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art (Figures 1 and 4) in view of Huang (U.S. Patent 5,961,912) and Freyman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,635,671).

Applicant's Prior Art discloses an electronic circuit package (Figs. 1 and 4) that contains a substrate (10) having a first surface and a second surface, wherein the first surface has a gating area, conductive traces (18) on first surface of substrate in the gating area, an electronic device (integrated circuit) (12) attached to first surface of substrate, electrical connections (wire bond) (16) between electronic device and conductive traces, input/output connections (ball grid array) (24) formed on second surface of the substrate, electrical connections (22) between conductive traces and input/output connections, encapsulation (14) formed over part of the substrate and the electronic device as an encapsulating mold compound and a encapsulating material (33) forming a mold runner. Applicant's Prior Art discloses all of the limitations except a

Art Unit: 2822

barrier material attached to the gating area of the substrate. Whereas Huang discloses an electronic device (Fig. 5) that contains a substrate (320) with a barrier material such as polyimide tape or high temperature plastic formed on the substrate. (Column 3, lines 45-47) The barrier material is added to stop warpage during encapsulant injection process. (Column 3, lines 37-38) Since Applicant's Prior Art and Huang are both from the same field of endeavor, electronic devices, the purpose disclosed by Huang would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Applicant's Prior Art. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention as made to modify the electronic device of Applicant's Prior Art by incorporating a barrier material on the substrate to prevent warping during the encapsulation injection process as taught by Huang. Applicant's Prior Art and Huang disclose all the limitations except for conductive traces formed in grating area. Whereas Freyman discloses an electronic device (Fig. 9) that contains a grating area (902) with a gold region (conductive trace) to achieve top gating to degate without damaging the device. (Abstract) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the devices of the Applicant's Prior Art and Huang to add conductive traces to the gating area to degate without damaging the device as taught by Freyman.

Page 3

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6 October 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argues that the Freyman reference does not disclose conductive traces in the gating region that is erroneous as can be seen in Fig. 9 that

Art Unit: 2822

the conductive traces are formed in the gating region (902) and are made of gold and as stated in the abstract and after the removal of some of the gating region the gold conductive traces are still part of the structure. Therefore the rejection stands.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiesha L. Rose whose telephone number is 571-272-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:00 off 2nd Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2822

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

KLR KLR

ANTEL WASHINGTON

TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE